UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,501	08/05/2003	Darrell Anderson	Google-54 8674 (GP-064-06-US)	
82402 Straub & Pokot	7590 04/20/200 ylo	EXAMINER		
788 Shrewsbury	Avenue	AL HASHEMI, SANA A		
Tinton Falls, NJ 07724			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2156	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/634,501	ANDERSON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Sana Al-Hashemi	2156			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 May 2008.					
-	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4\ ⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-82</u> is/are pending in the application.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s)is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-82</u> is/are rejected.					
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
	ion Papers					
	•					
•	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infori	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 7/16, 07, 2/11/08, 4/15/08, 9/3/08.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			



Application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,501 Page 2

Art Unit: 2156

DETAILED ACTION

This action is issued in response to applicant amendment filed 5/20/08.

Claims 1-82 were amended. No claims were added. None were canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-19, 23-32, 35-38, 42-60, 64-73 and 76-79, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dwight Merriman (U.S. Patent No. 5,948,061 issued Sep. 7, 1999. (Merriman hereinafter).

Regarding Claims 1,4-6, 8, 13-16, 23, 42, 45-49, 54-57 and 64 Merriman discloses:
a) accepting information concerning a document requested by the client device, the information being sourced from an application on the client device (Col 2, Lines 15-25; see also Col 2, Lines 65-66; see also Col 3, Lines 5-8; see also Col 3, Lines 24-34);

- b) determining at least one ad relevant to content of the document using at least the accepted information (Col 2, Lines 25-30; see also Col 3, Lines 12-15; See also Col 3, Lines 34-59, i.e. determine); and
- c) sending the at least one ad determined to the client device (Col 2, Lines 25-38; see also Col 3, Lines 59-63; see also Col 6, Lines 56-69).

Regarding Claims 2 and 43, Merriman discloses wherein the act of determining at least one ad relevant to the content of the document further uses at least ad relevance information (Col 3, lines 34-59; see also Col 6, Lines 12-26).

Regarding Claims 3 and 44, Merriman discloses wherein the ad relevance information includes an ad concept (Col 3, Lines 34,38; see also Col 4, Lines 44-55; see also Col 6, lines 11-26).

Regarding Claims 7 and 48, Merriman discloses:

- i) using the document identifier to lookup document content relevance information (Col 5, Lines 10-20), and
- ii) using the document content relevance information to determine at least one ad relevant to the content of the document (Col 2, Lines 25-30; see also Col 3, Lines 12-15; See also Col 3, Lines 34-59, i.e. determine).

Regarding Claims 9-12 and 50-53, Merriman discloses browser, browser plug in and a browser toolbar (Col 3, Lines 24-63, specifically Lines 24-26).

Regarding Claims 17 and 58, Merriman discloses concepts from the content of the received document, wherein the request includes the concepts extracted by the client device (Col 3, Lines 35-38; see also Col 5, Lines 10-15).

Regarding Claims 18 and 59, Merriman discloses at least one of the at least one content-relevant ad received includes rendering the at least one of the at least one content-relevant ad in association with the content of the requested document (Col 2, Lines 25-38; see also Col 3, Lines 59-63; see also Col 6, Lines 56-69).

Application/Control Number: 10/634,501

Regarding Claims 19, 24, 60 and 65 Merriman discloses rendering the content of the document in a first window, wherein the at least one of the at least one content- relevant ad received is rendered in a second window (Col 3, Lines 5-15; see also Col 1, Lines 29-44).

Regarding Claims 25-28 and 66-69, the limitations of these claims were disclosed in rejecting the combination of Claims 9-12, 19 and 24 (please see Col 3, Lines 24-63, specifically Lines 24-26; and also see Col 3, Lines 5-15; see also Col 1, Lines 29-44).

Regarding Claims 29-32 and 70-73, Merriman discloses rendering content of the requested document, wherein the act of rendering content of the requested document is initiated before the act of submitting a request (please see Merriman Figure No. 1, Element No. 16 to 20 then 12 to 22 and corresponding text; see also Col 2, Lines 15- 36).

Regarding Claims 35-36 and 76-77, Merriman discloses rendering, by the client device, the content of the document in a first part of a browser window, wherein the at least one of the at least one content-relevant ad received is rendered in the second part of the browser window, wherein the second part of the browser window shares no space with the first part of the browser window (Col 3, Lines 5-15; see also Col 1, Lines 29-44; see also Col 2, Lines 15-36).

Regarding Claims 37-38 and 78-79 Merriman discloses wherein the second part of the browser window is a browser chrome part and/or a toolbar of the browser window (Col 3, Lines 24-63, specifically Lines 24-26).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 20-22 and 61-63, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dwight Merriman (U.S. Patent No. 5,948,061 and Merriman hereinafter).

Regarding Claims 20-22 and 61-63 though Merriman reference discloses examples of locations where an ad maybe displayed (please see Col 1, Lines 32-36). Yet Merriman's reference does not expressly show the rendering location of an ad window compared to the location content of a document, such as a web page window location. However these differences are only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and do not alter the functionality of rendering a window; the location of a window (i.e., the descriptive material does not reconfigure the rendering). Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see In re Gulack, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401,404 (Fed. Cir. 1983); In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994). Therefore, it

Application/Control Number: 10/634,501

Art Unit: 2156

would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to render any window in any location because the window location for the ad does not alter the rendering functionality and because the subjective interpretation of the data does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention.

Page 6

Claims 33-34, 39-41, 74-75 and 80-82, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dwight Merriman (U.S. Patent No. 5,948,061 and Merriman hereinafter) in view of Franklin Servan-Schreiber (U.S. Patent No. 6,892,354 and Servan-Schreiber hereinafter). Regarding Claims 33-34, 39-41, 74-75 and 80-82 Merriman reference discloses all of the claimed subject matter set forth above, except it does not explicitly indicate wherein the act of submitting a request for at least one content-relevant ad to the content-relevant ad server occurs before a request for the requested document. However, Servan-Schreiber discloses wherein the act of submitting a request for at least one content-relevant ad to the content-relevant ad server occurs before a request for the requested document (Col 3, Lines 56-67). Given the intended broad application of Merriman's system, It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Merriman with the teachings of Servan-Schreiber to include the feature of submitting a request for at least one content-relevant ad to the content- relevant ad server occurs before a request for the requested document to keep the user or the requester interested in document or the web site he/she requested by presenting and/or displaying advertisements to the user or the requester while the requested web site is downloading, especially for those advertisements that are significantly small in size comparing to the size of a web site.

Other Prior Art Made of Record

a. Megiddo et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6892181) discloses a system and method for improving the

effectiveness of web advertising; and

b. Cezaret al. (U.S. Patent No. 6584492) discloses an Internet banner advertising process and

apparatus having scalability.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's

disclosure.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/20/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant arguments have been carefully reviewed in view of the applied art and Examiner believes the art applied met all the claimed limitations. Applicant stated from the interview with the examiner an indication of claim allowability. Nothing in the interview summary or from reviewing the amended claims believed overcomes the applied art.

Conclusion

1. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 2156

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Point of Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sana Al-Hashemi whose telephone number is 571-272-4013. The examiner can normally be reached on 8Am-4:30Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pierre Vital can be reached on 571-272-4125. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,501 Page 9

Art Unit: 2156

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sana Al-Hashemi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2156